

NPIC ROUTING SLIP

Approved For Release 2005/06/06 : CIA-RDP78B04770A002500070013-

FROM: *DCI/DMD*

DATE: *26 Jan. 62*

TO	INITIALS	DATE	FOR YOUR								
			SIGNATURE	INFORMATION	COMMENTS	APPROVAL	ACTION	SEE ME	FILE	RETURN	SEE REMARKS BELOW
DIR											
DEP/DIR											
EXEC/DIR											
SA											
SA											
SA											
CH/AS											
CH/SS											
CH/RS											
CH/PD											
CH/DMD											
CH/PAD											
CH/TISD											
<i>CH/TP&amp;DS</i>		<i>26 Jan</i>	<i>✓</i>								

REMARKS:

Declass Review by NGA.

HECL-1  
25 January 1962

Dear Chuck,

1. Enclosed are:

a. Copies of Amendment I dated 19 January 1962 to [ ] Specification for Solutions Laboratory. Note that we have deleted paragraph 4 re the Spectrophotometer so that the [ ] instrument is now called for by the basic Spec. J. C. concurred in your recommendation and will use the [ ] instrument as originally planned.

b. A list of chemicals, film, paper and plates used during the past year. It is rough, but I hope will be of some value to you.

2. Jack D. has reviewed the job order file in an effort to derive some meaningful work load and size figures for the various operations performed. Unfortunately the information just isn't there. The best we can come up with are the very rough figures we dug out last December and which I believe you have.

3. Contracts has our recommendation regarding [ ] and should be getting in touch with them this coming week. One major question concerns a realistic BOD and a firm date when [ ] may have access to the building and Chemical Solutions Lab room. Can you get such a date from Phil? Can Phil get a date from the Contractor?

We have suggested to Contracts that several of us (probably Ross G., Jack D., Earl K. and I) meet with you, Phil D. and Tom C. in IA early next week to settle the whole thing. Will call if this materializes.

4. You should have the two TD's by now. According to Ross G. they were mailed last Friday (19 Jan.).

Hank

Orig & 1 - CL  
1 - JC  
2 - HH

DMD/NPIC-298-62  
25 January 1962

[REDACTED] TELECON

1. [REDACTED] called 23 January 1962 to ask if he could delay his return to Washington from 29 January to 5 February. It seems [REDACTED] is reorganizing the Los Angeles office and wants him there an extra week. I agreed to the delay.

2. Chuck also said the Technical Directives covering installation of the PhotoChemical Solutions system and photographic material handling had not been received in LA and that I find out what happened to them.

*asked*

TP's were mailed 19 Jan per [REDACTED]

*25 Jan 62  
ALP*

DMD/NPIC-299-62  
25 January 1962

[ ] - TELECON (24 January 1962)

1. [ ] called to say that based on his investigation the [ ] spectrophotometer which [ ] now has and which we planned to install in the Chemical Analysis Lab was in his opinion not suitable. According to Chuck, it is a [ ] precision research instrument whereas we should have a [ ] production model. The [ ] instrument which Chuck recommends is a table top model and easy to operate whereas [ ] instrument is desk size and requires a highly skilled operator.

2. Further, space has been allocated in [ ] new shop for the [ ] instrument and he believes [ ] will need it in the not too distant future. (NOTE: Subsequent to this telephone conversation, [ ] concurred with Chuck's recommendations and amendment 1 to the [ ] specifications to the PhotoChemical Lab was revised eliminating a paragraph which deleted the requirement for a spectrophotometer from the [ ] specifications, i.e., the PhotoChemical Solutions Lab specifications call for the contractor to purchase a [ ] spectrophotometer for the Chemical Analysis Lab.)

3. Chuck mentioned that he had seen [ ] and that he was ready and waiting to go. He reported that [ ] is worried about the deadline date and that he wants a contract or letter of intent as quickly as possible. [ ] pointed out to Chuck that the original proposal was based on an eight month schedule and since the time was being reduced to 6½ months additional negotiations would almost certainly be necessary to cover the overtime which might be involved.